PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

211100

RECEIVED AUG 1 4 2007

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP

To:			
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP	INIVITATION TO DAY ADDITIONAL FEED		
Attn. Sunstein, Bruce D.	INVITATION TO PAY ADDITIONAL FEES		
125 Summer Street			
Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1618	(PCT Article 17(3)(a) and Rule 40.1)		
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE			
-BECRIERED HAIL-			
•	Date of mailing		
	(day/month/year) 07/08/2007		
Applicant's or agent's file reference	PAYMENT DUE within ONE MONTH from		
2960/138WO	the above date of mailing		
International application No.	International filing date		
PCT/US2007/064349	(day/month/year) 20/03/2007		
Applicant			
CONFORMIS, INC.	·		
	`		
1 This International Consoling Authority	į		
This International Searching Authority			
	umber of) inventions claimed in the international application covered		
by the claims indicated XXXX/on the extra sheet:	•		
• •			
and it considers that the international application does n	ot comply with the requirements of unity of invention		
(Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3) for the reasons indicated/86	XXX/on the extra sheet:		
(ii) X has carried out a partial international search (see A	may) will establish the international search report		
on those parts of the international application which relat	e to the invention first menuoneo in claims Nos.;		
see annex			
	parts of the international application only if, and to the extent		
to which, additional fees are paid			
2. The applicant is hereby invited, within the time limit indicates	d above, to pay the amount indicated below:		
EUR 1.615.00 x5	= <u>EUR 8.075</u>		
Fee per additional invention number of additional	inventions total amount of additional fees		
Or,x	=		
The applicant is informed that, according to Rule 40.2(c), the	payment of any additional fee may be made under protest.		
i.e., a reasoned statement to the effect that the international a	oplication complies with the requirement of unity of invention		
or that the amount of the required additional fee is excessive.	·		
3. X Claim(s) Nos. see annex	have been found to be unsearchable under		
Article 17(2)(b) because of defects under Article 17(2)(a	and therefore have not been included with any invention.		
Name and mailing address of the later of the	A.A. dad at		
Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority	Authorized officer		
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk	Elisabeth Reinecke		
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016			

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1-12,94-104

An implant interposed in a joint between a first articular surface and a second articular surface, the implant comprising: a first surface for contacting the first articular surface such that motion of the implant is constrained; and a second surface for contacting the second articular surface, the second surface allowing movement of the second articular surface.

2. claims: 13-23,56-65

An implant for insertion in a joint having a first articular surface, the implant comprising: a first implant surface conforming to the first articular surface, the first articular surface including cartilage.

3. claims: 24-55

An implant for insertion in a joint between a first articular surface and a second articular surface, the implant comprising: a first implant surface for engaging the first articular surface, the first implant surface having one or more convexities and one or more concavities; and a second implant surface for engaging the second articular surface, the second implant surface having at least one of a plurality of concavities and a plurality of convexities.

4. claims: 66-70

An interpositional implant suitable for a knee joint, the implant comprising: a superior surface arranged to oppose at least a portion of a femur; and an inferior surface arranged to oppose at least a portion of a tibial surface, wherein the implant has a substantially U-shaped cross-section in at least one of a medial-lateral direction and an anterior-posterior direction.

5. claims: 71-76

An interpositional implant suitable for a knee joint, the implant comprising:

a superior surface arranged to contact at least a portion of a femur;

an inferior surface arranged to contact at least a portion of a tibial surface, the superior surface and the inferior surface facing opposing directions and defining a thickness;

a peripheral edge extending between the superior and inferior surfaces, the greatest thickness at the peripheral edge being at least 2 mm more than the smallest thickness of the implant.

6. claims: 77-93

An implant for insertion in a joint between a first articular surface and a second articular surface, the

implant comprising:

a first implant surface conforming to the first articular surface, the first articular surface including cartilage, the first implant surface having a periphery, the periphery including a stabilization mechanism for limiting motion of the implant in the joint; and

a second implant surface for contacting the second articular surface.

The common concept linking group of claims 1-12, 94-104 and group of claims 13-23, 56-65 is an implant for insertion in a joint having a first articular surface, the implant comprising a first implant surface for contacting the first articular surface. This concept, however, is well known in the state of the art as exemplified by EP-A-1327423. There is known in the state of the art as exemplified by EP-A-1327423. no common "special technical feature" in terms of Rule 13.2 PCT linking the two groups of claims. Furthermore, the distinguishing feature of the first group of claims is that the motion at the first articular surface is constrained, whereas the distinguishing feature of the second group of claims is that the first implant surface conforms to the first articular surface. As such, the two groups of claims are directed towards solving different technical problems - the first group of claims addresses the problem of fixing the implant and the second group of claims addresses the problem of patient comfort. Therefore, there cannot be seen any corresponding "special technical feature" linking the two groups of claims, therefore the requirement for unity according to Rule 13.1 PCT is not fulfilled.

The common concept linking group of claims 1-12, 94-104 and group of claims 24-55 is an implant for insertion in a joint between a first articular surface and a second articular surface, the implant comprising a first implant surface for contacting the first articular surface and a second implant surface for contacting the second articular surface. This concept is again well known in the state of the art as exemplified by EP-A-1327423. There is no common "special technical feature" in terms of Rule 13.2 PCT linking the two groups of claims. Furthermore, the distinguishing feature of the first group of claims is that the motion at

PCT/US2007/064349

the first articular surface is constrained, whereas the distinguishing feature of the third group of claims is that the first implant surface and the second implant surface have convexities and/or concavities. As such, the two groups of claims are directed towards solving different technical problems - the first group of claims addresses the problem of fixing the implant, as mentioned above, and the third group of claims addresses the problem of achieving a stable but mobile implant. Again, there cannot be seen any corresponding "special technical feature" linking the two groups of claims, therefore the requirement for unity according to Rule 13.1 PCT is not fulfilled.

The common concept linking group of claims 1-12, 94-104 and group of claims 66-70 is an interpositional implant comprising an inferior surface arranged to oppose at least a portion of a first articulating surface and a superior surface arranged to oppose at least a portion of a second articulating surface. This concept, however, is well known in the state of the art as exemplified by EP-A-1327423. There is no common "special technical feature" in terms of Rule 13.2 PCT linking the two groups of claims. Furthermore, the distinguishing feature of the first group of claims is that the motion at the first articular surface is constrained, whereas the distinguishing feature of the fourth group of claims is that the implant has a substantially U-shaped cross-section in at least one of a medio-lateral direction and an anterior-posterior direction. As such, the two groups of claims are directed towards solving different technical problems - the first group of claims addresses the problem of fixing the implant and the fourth group of claims addresses the problem of improving the fit of the implant within the articulation. Again, there cannot be seen any corresponding "special technical feature" linking the two groups of claims, therefore the requirement for unity according to Rule 13.1 PCT is not fulfilled.

The common concept linking group of claims 1-12, 94-104 and group of claims 71-76 is again an interpositional implant comprising an inferior surface arranged to oppose at least a portion of a first articulating surface and a superior surface arranged to oppose at least a portion of a second articulating surface. As mentioned above, this concept is well known in the state of the art as exemplified by EP-A-1327423. There is no common "special technical feature" in terms of Rule 13.2 PCT linking the two groups of claims. Furthermore, the distinguishing feature of the first group of claims is that the motion at the first articular surface is constrained, whereas the distinguishing feature of the fifth group of claims is that the implant has a peripheral edge extending between the superior and inferior surfaces, the greatest thickness at the peripheral edge being at least 2 mm more than the smallest thickness of the implant. As such, the two groups of claims are directed towards solving different technical problems - the first group of claims addresses the problem of fixing the implant and the fifth group of claims addresses the problem of achieving an implant of appropriate thickness. Again, there cannot be seen any corresponding "special technical feature" linking the two groups of claims, therefore the requirement for unity according to Rule 13.1 PCT is not fulfilled.

The common concept linking group of claims 1-12, 94-104 and group of claims 77-93 is an implant for insertion in a joint between a first articular surface and a second articular surface, the implant comprising

PCT/US2007/064349

a first implant surface for contacting the first articular surface and a second implant surface for contacting the second articular surface. This concept, however, is well known in the state of the art as exemplified by EP-A-1327423. There is no common "special technical feature" in terms of Rule 13.2 PCT linking the two groups of claims. Furthermore, the distinguishing feature of the first group of claims is that the motion at the first articular surface is constrained, whereas the distinguishing feature of the sixth group of claims is that the first implant surface has a periphery, the periphery including a stabilization mechanism for limiting motion of the implant in the joint. As such, the two groups of claims are directed towards solving different technical problems — the first group of claims addresses the problem of fixing the implant and the sixth group of claims addresses the problem of avoiding dislocation of the implant. Again, there cannot be seen any corresponding "special technical feature" linking the two groups of claims, therefore the requirement for unity according to Rule 13.1 PCT is not fulfilled.

The application relates to a plurality of inventions, or groups of inventions, in the sense of Rule 13.1 PCT. They have been divided as defined above. If the applicant pays additional fees for one (or more) not yet searched group(s) of invention(s), then the further search(es) may reveal further prior art that gives evidence of a further lack of unity 'a posteriori' within one (or more) of the not yet searched group(s). In such a case only the first invention in this (each of these) group(s) of inventions, which is considered to lack unity of invention, will be the subject of a search. No further invitation to pay further additional fees will be issued. This is because Article 17(3)(a) PCT stipulates that the ISA shall establish the International Search Report on those parts of the international application which relate to the invention first mentioned in the claims ('main invention') and for those parts which relate to inventions in respect of which the additional fees were paid. Neither the PCT nor the PCT guidelines provide a legal basis for further invitations to pay further additional search fees (W17/00, point 11 and W1/97, points 11-16).

International Application No. PCT/US2007 /064349 FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 206 Continuation of Box 3. Claim(s) not searched: 105-108 Rule 39.1(iv) PCT — Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery $\frac{1}{2}$

Annex to Form PCT/ISA/206 COMMUNICATION RELATING TO THE RESULTS OF THE PARTIAL INTERNATIONAL SEARCH

International Application No PCT/US2007/064349

- 1. The present communication is an Annex to the invitation to pay additional fees (Form PCT/ISA/206). It shows the results of the international search established on the parts of the international application which relate to the invention first mentioned in claims Nos.:
- see 'Invitation to pay additional fees' 2. This communication is not the international search report which will be established according to Article 18 and Rule 43.
- 3.If the applicant does not pay any additional search fees, the information appearing in this communication will be considered as the result of the international search and will be included as such in the international search report.
- 4.If the applicant pays additional fees, the international search report will contain both the information appearing in this communication and the results of the international search on other parts of the international application for which such fees will have been paid.

Category •	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
X	EP 1 327 423 A1 (CT PULSE ORTHOPEDICS LTD [CH] ZIMMER GMBH [CH]) 16 July 2003 (2003-07-16) paragraph [0020] - paragraph [0021] paragraph [0032] - paragraph [0037] figures 1,2,4,6-8	1,4-9, 12, 94-104		
X	US 2004/133276 A1 (LANG PHILIPP [US] ET AL) 8 July 2004 (2004-07-08)	1-4,7-9, 12, 94-104		
	paragraph [0136] figures 8K,8L	94-104		
	:			
~				
	• •			

- Special categories of cited documents:
- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filling date
- 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority daim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- O document reterring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed
- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the ctaimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Patent Family Annex

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/US2007/064349

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP 1327423	A1	16-07-2003	AT DE	321510 T 60304233 T2	15-04-2006 18-01-2007
US 2004133276	A1	08-07-2004	NONE		

Form PCT/ISA/206 (patent lamily annex) (July 1992; reprint January 2004)